18-19th, Feb., 2017, 云南大学 云南.昆明

Estimating the mass of the Milky Way from the LAMOST Galactic spectroscopic survey

Yang Huang (<u>vanghuang@pku.edu.cn</u>) Department of Astronomy Peking university

Collaborators:

X.-W. Liu (PKU), H.-B. Yuan (BNU), B.-Q. Chen (PKU), M.-S. Xiang (NAOC), H.-W. Zhang (PKU), J.-J. Ren (NAOC), Z.-J. Tian (PKU), C. Wang (PKU)



Outline

- Background
- The Galactic rotation curve out to 100 kpc
- The Galactic escape velocity curve between 5-14 kpc
- Summary & Future prospect

Background

The mass (profile) of the Milky Way



Wang et al. 2015, MNRAS, 453, 377

How to estimate the MW's mass?

- Timing argument estimators (e.g. Kahn & Woltjer 1959)
- Modelling of local expansion (Penarrubia et al. 2014)
- Kinematics of bright satellites (e.g. Leo I and Magellanic Clouds; e.g. Sales et al. 2007a,b)
- Kinematics of stellar streams (e.g. Sagittarius stream; e.g. Newberg et al. 2010)
- Galactic rotation curve (e.g. Xue et al. 2008)
- Galactic escape velocity curve (e.g. Smith et al. 2007; Piffl et al. 2014)

Challenges:

- Model dependent (systematics)
- Limited number of tracers (especially the distant one, random errors)
- Incomplete kinematic information

The mass (profile) of the Milky Way



Wang et al. 2015, MNRAS, 453, 377

How to estimate the MW's mass?

- Timing argument estimators (e.g. Kahn & Woltjer 1959)
- Modelling of local expansion (Penarrubia et al. 2014)
- Kinematics of bright satellites (e.g. Leo I and Magellanic Clouds; e.g. Sales et al. 2007a,b)
- Kinematics of stellar streams (e.g. Sagittarius stream; e.g. Newberg et al. 2010)
- Galactic rotation curve (e.g. Xue et al. 2008)
- Galactic escape velocity curve (e.g. Smith et al. 2007; Piffl et al. 2014)

Challenges:

- Model dependent (systematics)
- Limited number of tracers (especially the distant one, random errors)
- Incomplete kinematic information

The mass (profile) of the Milky Way

The Milky Way's (MW's) composition, structure, dynamical properties, and formation history are heavily influenced by two important properties: its total mass and mass profile, for examples:

- The missing satellite problem (MSP): the number of subhaloes of a given mass scales directly with the host halo mass (Springel et al. 2008).
- Too big to fail (TBTF): the number of massive subhaloes depends sensitively on the virial mass of the MW.
- Maximal Galactic disk?



Boylan-Kolchin et al. 2011, MNRAS, 415,40 Wang et al. 2012, MNRAS, 424, 2715

Constraining the mass of the Milky Way using LAMOST data

- The Galactic rotation curve out to ~100 kpc (+ data from SDSS/SEGUE & SDSS/APOGEE): Huang et al. 2016, MNRAS, 463, 2623
- The Galactic escape velocity curve: Huang et al. in prep.
- Identifying member stars of (thin) stellar streams (the shape of the dark matter halo): Ongoing
- Surface mass density profile (include the local dark matter density):
 Ongoing

Constraining the mass of the Milky Way using LAMOST data

- The Galactic rotation curve out to ~100 kpc (+ data from SDSS/SEGUE & SDSS/APOGEE): Huang et al. 2016, MNRAS, 463, 2623
- The Galactic escape velocity curve: Huang et al. in prep.
- Identifying member stars of (thin) stellar streams (the shape of the dark matter halo): Ongoing
- Surface mass density profile (include the local dark matter density):
 Ongoing

$$V_c^2 = V_{c,\text{disc}}^2 + V_{c,\text{bulge}}^2 + V_{c,\text{halo}}^2 + V_{c,\text{ring}}^2$$
.

$$\frac{1}{2}V_{\rm esc}^2 = |\Phi_{\rm bulge}| + |\Phi_{\rm discs}| + |\Phi_{\rm halo}| + |\Phi_{\rm ring}|$$

The Galactic rotation curve out to 100 kpc

Rotation curves



1. Mass distribution of galaxies

- $M(R) \propto RV_c^2(R)$
- The rotation curves are important tools in studies of the structures and mass distribution of galaxies.

2. Evidence for dark matter

- In the outer parts of the galaxies, $V_c(R) \propto 1/\sqrt{R}$ if visible components only.
- The flatness of the outer rotation curves implies that the galaxies contain large amounts of unseen matter dark matter.

Rotation curves of the Milky Way: disc tracers

Tangent-point
Radial velocity method & distance analysis 300 Outer disc (e.g. HII regions, OB stars) Inner disc (HI, CO) 250 200 V 150 km/s V(R)100 50 Sofue 2009, PASJ, 61, 227 0⁰ 10 20 5 15 R kpc $V_c(R) = V_c(R_0) \sin(l) + V_{r,LSR}^{max}$ $V_c(R) = \frac{R}{R_0} \left[\frac{V_{r, \text{LSR}}}{\sin(l)\cos(b)} - V_c(R_0) \right]$ $R = (d^2 + R_0^2 - 2dR_0\cos(l))^{1/2}$ $R = R_0 \sin(l)$

Challenges:

- Poorly distance determinations in the outer Galactic disc
- Significant perturbations by non-axisymmetric structures (e.g. central bar, spiral arms)



Image Credit: R. Hurt

Rotation curves of the Milky Way: halo tracers

• 2401 BHB stars

1457 BHB stars; 2227 K giants;
 16 GCs, 28 FHB stars, 21 dSphs



Challenges:

The halo density profile: inner halo & outer halo

2 The velocity anisotropy parameter $\beta = 1 - \frac{\sigma_{\theta}^2 + \sigma_{\phi}^2}{2\sigma_r^2}$: not a constant

Data to construct the the Galactic rotation curve

For the (outer) disk region:

PRCGs: select from the LSS-GAC DR2 (Liu et al. 2014; Yuan et al. 2015) and SDSS-III/APOGEE (Majewski et al. 2015) by Huang et al. (2015b) and Bovy et al. (2014); respectively.

- PRCGs are warm populations: insensitive to the perturbations of non-axisymmetric structures
- PRCGs are standard candles (distance accuracy: 5-10%)

For the halo region:

Halo K giants (HKGs): select from SDSS/SEGUE (Yanny et al. 2009) by Xue et al. (2014).

 HKGs are bright and also span a large range in absolute magnitude (-3 < Mr < 1 mag)





Data to construct the the Galactic rotation curve

For the (outer) disk region:

PRCGs: select from the LSS-GAC DR2 (Liu et al. 2014; Yuan et al. 2015) and SDSS-III/APOGEE (Majewski et al. 2015) by Huang et al. (2015b) and Bovy et al. (2014); respectively.

- PRCGs are warm populations: insensitive to the perturbations of non-axisymmetric structures
- PRCGs are standard candles (distance accuracy: 5-10%)

For the halo region:

Halo K giants (HKGs): select from SDSS/SEGUE (Yanny et al. 2009) by Xue et al. (2014).

 HKGs are bright and also span a large range in absolute magnitude (-3 < Mr < 1 mag)





Galactic rotation curve for outer disk region with PRCG sample



Galactic rotation curve for outer disk region with PRCG sample



Galactic rotation curve for outer disk region with PRCG sample



Galactic rotation curve for halo region with halo HKG sample

Spherical Jean equation (Jeans 1915):

$$V_c^2(R) = -\sigma_r^2 \left(\frac{d\ln\nu}{d\ln r} + \frac{d\ln\sigma_r^2}{d\ln r} + 2\beta\right)$$

$$\beta = 1 - \frac{\sigma_{\theta}^2 + \sigma_{\phi}^2}{2\sigma_r^2},$$

$$\sigma_r = \frac{\sigma_{\rm GSR}}{\sqrt{1 - \beta A(r)}},$$

$$A(r) = \frac{r^2 + R_0^2}{4r^2} - \frac{(r^2 - R_0^2)^2}{8r^3R_0} \ln|\frac{r + R_0}{r - R_0}|.$$

For the stellar density, a double powerlaw distribution is assumed:



Galactic rotation curve for halo region with halo HKG sample

Spherical Jean equation (Jeans 1915):

$$V_c^2(R) = -\sigma_r^2 \left(\frac{d\ln\nu}{d\ln r} + \frac{d\ln\sigma_r^2}{d\ln r} + 2\beta\right),$$

$$\beta = 1 - \frac{\sigma_{\theta}^2 + \sigma_{\phi}^2}{2\sigma_r^2},$$

$$\sigma_r = \frac{\sigma_{\rm GSR}}{\sqrt{1 - \beta A(r)}},$$

$$A(r) = \frac{r^2 + R_0^2}{4r^2} - \frac{(r^2 - R_0^2)^2}{8r^3R_0} \ln|\frac{r + R_0}{r - R_0}|.$$

For the radial velocity dispersion, again a double power-law distribution is assumed:



Galactic rotation curve for halo region with halo HKG sample

Spherical Jean equation (Jeans 1915):

$$V_c^2(R) = -\sigma_r^2 \left(\frac{d\ln\nu}{d\ln r} + \frac{d\ln\sigma_r^2}{d\ln r} + 2\beta\right),$$

$$\beta = 1 - \frac{\sigma_{\theta}^2 + \sigma_{\phi}^2}{2\sigma_r^2},$$

$$\sigma_r = \frac{\sigma_{\rm GSR}}{\sqrt{1 - \beta A(r)}},$$

$$A(r) = \frac{r^2 + R_0^2}{4r^2} - \frac{(r^2 - R_0^2)^2}{8r^3R_0} \ln|\frac{r + R_0}{r - R_0}|.$$

For the anisotropy parameter, adopted from the current literature available:



- Hitherto the most accurate Galactic rotation curve extending to 100 kpc based on 12000 selected from LSS-GAC DR2 and 4000 selected from APOGEE low Galactic latitude (|b| < 3°) primary red clump stars, and 6000 halo K giants selected from SDSS
- The data establish the existence of two localized dips in the rotation curve, at 11 and 19 kpc, respectively



McMillan 2011

00	Galactic component	Parameter	Value	Unit	Note ^a
$\rho(R,Z) = \frac{\rho_0}{m^{\gamma}(1+m)^{\beta-\gamma}} \exp[-(mr_0/r_t)^2],$	Bulge	$M_{\rm b}$	8.9	$10^9 { m M}_{\odot}$	fixed
	discs	$\Sigma_{\rm d,0,thin}$	$726.9^{+203.5}_{-123.6}$	${ m M}_{\odot}{ m pc}^{-2}$	fixed
	7	$R_{\rm d,thin}$	$2.63^{+0.16}_{-0.21}$	kpc	fitted
$m(R,Z) = \sqrt{(R/r_0)^2 + (Z/qr_0)^2},$		$M_{\rm d,thin}$	$3.15\substack{+0.35\\-0.19}$	$10^{10} { m M}_{\odot}$	derived
		$\Sigma_{\rm d,0,thick}$	$30.4^{+36.2}_{-10.3}$	${ m M}_{\odot}{ m pc}^{-2}$	fixed
$\Sigma(R) = \Sigma_{\rm sl,o} \exp(-\frac{R}{R} - \frac{R_{\rm hole}}{R})$		$R_{\rm d,thick}$	$5.68^{+2.22}_{-1.99}$	kpc	fitted
$\Sigma(R) = \Sigma_{\mathrm{d},0} \exp(-R_{\mathrm{d}} - R^{-1}),$		$M_{\rm d,thick}$	$0.62^{+0.16}_{-0.06}$	$10^{10} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$	derived
		$\Sigma_{\rm d,0,gas}$	$134.3^{+18.8}_{-12.1}$	${ m M}_{\odot}{ m pc}^{-2}$	fixed
		$R_{ m d,gas}$	$5.26^{+0.32}_{-0.42}$	kpc	fixed
		$M_{\rm d,gas}$	$0.55^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$	$10^{10} { m M}_{\odot}$	derived
NFW profile		$M_{\rm d,total}$	$4.32^{+0.39}_{-0.20}$	$10^{10} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$	derived
$\rho(r) = -\frac{\rho_s}{\rho_s}$	Dark matter halo	$r_{ m s}$	$14.39^{+1.30}_{-1.15}$	kpc	fitted
$ ho(r) = rac{ ho(r/r_{ m s})[1+(r/r_{ m s})^2]}{(r/r_{ m s})[1+(r/r_{ m s})^2]}$		$ ho_{ m s}$	$0.0121^{+0.0021}_{-0.0016}$	${ m M}_{\odot}{ m pc}^{-3}$	fitted
		$ ho_{\odot}$	$0.0083^{+0.0005}_{-0.0005}$	${ m M}_{\odot}{ m pc}^{-3}$	derived
$ ho_{ m cr}\Omega_{ m m}\delta_{ m th}$ c^3		С	$18.06^{+1.26}_{-0.90}$	_	derived
$ ho_{\rm s} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{\ln(1+c) - c/(1+c)}$		$r_{ m vir}$	$255.69^{+7.67}_{-7.67}$	kpc	derived
		$M_{\rm vir}$	$0.90^{+0.07}_{-0.08}$	$10^{12} \mathrm{M_{\odot}}$	derived
	Rings	$\Sigma_{0,\mathrm{ring1}}$	$44.89^{+13.47}_{-10.32}$	${ m M}_{\odot}{ m pc}^{-2}$	fitted
$\Sigma(R) = \Sigma = \left[\left(R - R_{\rm ring} \right)^2 \right]$	n = 3?	R_{ring1}	$12.32^{+0.49}_{-0.37}$	kpc	fitted
$\Sigma(R) = \Sigma_{0,\text{ring}} \exp[-\frac{1}{2\sigma_{\text{ring}}^2}].$	11 - 51	$\sigma_{ m ring1}$	$1.51^{+0.54}_{-0.45}$	kpc	fitted
		$M_{\rm ring1}$	$1.32^{+0.71}_{-0.50}$	$10^{10} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$	derived
	Monoceros ring	$\Sigma_{0,\mathrm{ring}2}$	$27.37^{+19.16}_{-13.69}$	${ m M}_{\odot}{ m pc}^{-2}$	fitted
Caustic dark matter rings:	n=2?	$R_{ m ring2}$	$20.64^{+1.03}_{-1.03}$	kpc	fitted
An ~ 40kpc/n for n=1,2,3,		$\sigma_{ m ring2}$	$1.76^{+0.97}_{-0.74}$	kpc	fitted
(Natarajan & Sikivie 2007)		$M_{\rm ring2}$	$1.57^{+0.83}_{-0.75}$	$10^{10} \mathrm{M_{\odot}}$	derived
	All	$M_{\rm total}$	$0.97^{+0.07}_{-0.08}$	$10^{12}\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$	derived



The Galactic escape speed at a position **r** is hence defined as the minimum speed needed for an object to escape the gravity at that position of the Galaxy.

Specially, we call the escape speed at solar position as local escape speed.

The determination of the escape speed is very **important** for, e.g. Galaxy trek, constraining the mass distribution of the Milky Way.

Source	$V_e(R_0)$	Method	
	$({\rm km}{\rm s}^{-1})$		
Oort (1928)	$\geq 65 + \Theta_0$		
Schmidt (1956)	> 280	Keplerian method	
Schmidt (1965)	380		
Caldwell & Ostriker (1981)	640 ± 96		
Alexander (1982)	> 450	Highest velocity	
Sandage & Fouts (1987)	> 450	star in the	
Carney, Latham & Laird (1988)	> 500	solar neighborhood	
Cudworth (1989)	> 475		
Leonard & Tremaine (1990) LT90	450 - 650	Velocity distribution	
Kochanek (1996) K96	489 - 730		
Smith et al. (2007) S06	544_{-46}^{+64}	volocity stors	
Pifil et al. (2014) PI4	533^{+54}_{-41}	velocity stars	

Table 1. Measurements of the local escape velocity in the literature.

Methodology

$$L(v_{\parallel}) = \frac{(v_{\rm esc} - |v_{\parallel}|)^{k+1}}{\int_{v_{\rm min}}^{v_{\rm esc}} dv (v_{\rm esc} - |v_{\parallel}|)^{k+1}} = (k+2) \frac{(v_{\rm esc} - |v_{\parallel}|)^{k+1}}{(v_{\rm esc} - v_{\rm min})^{k+2}}$$

$$f_{\rm r}(v_{\rm r} \mid v_{\rm esc}, k) \propto (v_{\rm esc} - v_{\rm r})^{k+1}$$
. Used by LT90 & PI4

$$f_{\rm r}(v_{\rm r} | v_{\rm esc}, k) \propto \left(v_{\rm esc}^2 - v_{\rm r}^2\right)^{k+1}$$
. Used by
K96 & S07

Challenges:

Distribution function of stellar velocities around v_{esc}
 □ Taylor series expansion

 \Box The exponent index k

 The high velocity star (halo populations) sample defined by

 $v_{\rm GSR} > v_{\rm min}$

Methodology

$$L(v_{\parallel}) = \frac{(v_{\rm esc} - |v_{\parallel}|)^{k+1}}{\int_{v_{\rm min}}^{v_{\rm esc}} dv \, (v_{\rm esc} - |v_{\parallel}|)^{k+1}} = (k+2) \frac{(v_{\rm esc} - |v_{\parallel}|)^{k+1}}{(v_{\rm esc} - v_{\rm min})^{k+2}}$$

$$f_{\rm r}(v_{\rm r} \mid v_{\rm esc}, k) \propto (v_{\rm esc} - v_{\rm r})^{k+1}.$$
 Used by LT90 & PI4

$$f_{\rm r}(v_{\rm r} | v_{\rm esc}, k) \propto \left(v_{\rm esc}^2 - v_{\rm r}^2\right)^{k+1}$$
. Used by
K96 & S07

As argued by P14, this distribution is more closer to those yielded by cosmological simulations.

Challenges:

- Distribution function of stellar velocities around vesc
 Taylor series expansion
 The exponent index k
- The high velocity star (halo populations) sample defined by

 $v_{\rm GSR} > v_{\rm min}$

Methodology

$$L(v_{\parallel}) = \frac{(v_{\rm esc} - |v_{\parallel}|)^{k+1}}{\int_{v_{\rm min}}^{v_{\rm esc}} dv \, (v_{\rm esc} - |v_{\parallel}|)^{k+1}} = (k+2) \frac{(v_{\rm esc} - |v_{\parallel}|)^{k+1}}{(v_{\rm esc} - v_{\rm min})^{k+2}}$$

$$f_{\rm r}(v_{\rm r} \mid v_{\rm esc}, k) \propto (v_{\rm esc} - v_{\rm r})^{k+1}.$$
 Used by LT90 & PI4

$$f_{\rm r}(v_{\rm r} | v_{\rm esc}, k) \propto \left(v_{\rm esc}^2 - v_{\rm r}^2\right)^{k+1}$$
. Used by
K96 & S07

As argued by PI4, this distribution is more closer to those yielded by cosmological simulations.

Challenges:

 Distribution function of stellar velocities around v_{esc}
 Taylor series expansion The exponent index k
 Disk contaminations The high velocity star (halo populations) sample defined by
 v_{GSR} > v_{min}
 Sample size
 The choice of v_{min}

errors (at least for

can determine v_{esc} and

v_{min} simultaneously;

otherwise you need a

 v_{\min} , the weaker the

proper prior of k



General behavior of the method

- A proper knowledge of k if the sample size is not large enough (say smaller than 500)
- A proper choice of v_{\min}
- Contaminations of disk stars must be smaller than 5%.
- A high radial velocity sample (the larger the better)

General behavior of the method

- A proper knowledge of k if the sample size is not large enough (say smaller than 500)
- A proper choice of v_{min}
- Contaminations of disk stars must be smaller than 5%.
- A high radial velocity sample (the larger the better)
- k = 1 3 used by LT90
- k = 2.7 4.7 used by S07 (predicted by 4 cosmological simulations)
- k = 2.3 3.7 used by P14 (predicted by 8 cosmological simulations)



General behavior of the method

- A proper knowledge of k if the sample size is not large enough (say smaller than 500)
- A proper choice of v_{\min}
- Contaminations of disk stars must be smaller than 5%.
- A high radial velocity sample (the larger the better)
- k = 1 3 used by LT90
- k = 2.7 4.7 used by S07 (predicted by 4 cosmological simulations)
- k = 2.3 3.7 used by P14 (predicted by 8 cosmological simulations)



General behavior of the method

- A proper knowledge of k if the sample size is not large enough (say smaller than 500)
- A proper choice of v_{min}
- Contaminations of disk stars must be smaller than 5%
- A high radial velocity sample (the larger the better)





General behavior of the method

- A proper knowledge of k if the sample size is not large enough (say smaller than 500)
- A proper choice of v_{min}
- Contaminations of disk stars must be smaller than 5%
- A high radial velocity sample (the larger the better)





General behavior of the method

The bases to a reliable estimate of v_{esc} based on the current method

- A proper knowledge of k if the sample size is not large enough (say smaller than 500)
- A proper choice of v_{\min}
- Contaminations of disk stars must be smaller than 5%
- A high radial velocity sample (the larger the better)

The current (local) high (radial) velocity star sample:

- Leonard & Tremaine (1990): 15 stars with V_{GSR} > 250 km/s
- Kochanek (1996): 31 stars with $V_{GSR} > 250$ km/s (10 stars with $V_{GSR} > 300$ km/s)
- Smith et al. (2007): 33 stars with $V_{GSR} > 300$ km/s
- Pifil et al. (2014): 53 stars with $V_{GSR} > 300$ km/s

General behavior of the method

The bases to a reliable estimate of v_{esc} based on the current method

- A proper knowledge of k if the sample size is not large enough (say smaller than 500)
- A proper choice of v_{\min}
- Contaminations of disk stars must be smaller than 5%
- A high radial velocity sample (the larger the better)

The current (local) high (radial) velocity star sample:

- Leonard & Tremaine (1990): 15 stars with V_{GSR} > 250 km/s
- Kochanek (1996): 31 stars with $V_{GSR} > 250$ km/s (10 stars with $V_{GSR} > 300$ km/s)
- Smith et al. (2007): 33 stars with $V_{GSR} > 300$ km/s
- Pifil et al. (2014): 53 stars with $V_{GSR} > 300$ km/s

Given the enormous number of spectra observed by LAMOST, it is very promising to build a sample with the largest number of high radial velocity stars.

Data

- Up to 2016/06, a total of ~7 million spectra with SNR (4650 Å) > 10
- > Objtype: 'star'
- Have stellar parameters (Teff, logg, [Fe/H], RV) measured by LSP3
- > 3500 < Teff < 6500 K (FGK stars)
- > Unique star (if multiple, choose the one with highest SNR)

~4.1 million stars left

- > |Vgsr| >= 300 km/s
- > Variable/Binary stars and other peculiar objects (e.g.
 - GC) are removed
- Careful eye check

Finally, 692 stars left

Data



Data: contaminations

Toy model with [Fe/H] information: (5 < R < 14 kpc, -2.5 < Z < 2.5 kpc)





Data: final sample – 527 stars









Results & discussion



Results & discussion



Wang et al. 2012, MNRAS, 424, 2715



Summary & Future prospect

Constraining the mass of the Milky Way using LAMOST data

We have derived the Galactic rotation curve out to ~100 kpc and find $M_{vir} = (0.90 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{12} M_{\odot, \rho_{DM}} = 0.32 \pm 0.02 \text{ GeV}$ cm⁻³ (Huang et al. 2016, MNRAS, 463, 2623)

•

 We have derived the Galactic escape velocity curve between 5 and 14 kpc. The local Galactic escape velocity is about 523±17 km/s. Using this curve, we again find M_{vir} = (0.91± 0.05) ×10¹² M_☉ (Huang et al. in prep.).

Constraining the mass of the Milky Way using LAMOST data

- We have derived the Galactic rotation curve out to ~100 kpc and find $M_{vir} = (0.90 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{12} M_{\odot, \rho_{DM}} = 0.32 \pm 0.02 \text{ GeV}$ cm⁻³ (Huang et al. 2016, MNRAS, 463, 2623)
- We have derived the Galactic escape velocity curve between 5 and 14 kpc. The local Galactic escape velocity is about 523±17 km/s. Using this curve, we again find M_{vir} = (0.91± 0.05) ×10¹² M_☉ (Huang et al. in prep.).



- Identifying member stars of (thin) stellar streams (the shape of the dark matter halo)
- Surface mass density profile (include the local dark matter density)
- Other available constraints

•

Constraining the mass of the Milky Way using LAMOST data

- We have derived the Galactic rotation curve out to ~100 kpc and find $M_{vir} = (0.90 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{12} M_{\odot}, \rho_{DM} = 0.32 \pm 0.02 \text{ GeV}$ cm⁻³ (Huang et al. 2016, MNRAS, 463, 2623)
- We have derived the Galactic escape velocity curve between 5 and 14 kpc. The local Galactic escape velocity is about 523±17 km/s. Using this curve, we again find M_{vir} = (0.91± 0.05) ×10¹² M_☉ (Huang et al. in prep.).



- Surface mass density profile (include the local dark matter density)
- Other available constraints

•

Accurate mass distribution of our Milky Way

Thank You!

LAMOST与银河 ©Jin Ma 2012 2012.08.22 Nikon D90 + 10-24mm, F3.5, 14x30s, ISO2500

WWW.KARAJIN.COM